

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-6 and 8-46 remain in the application. The Examiner has acknowledged that claims 8-10 and 12-38 are directed to allowable subject matter. Claim 1-3, 7, 11, and 39-45 are canceled. Claim 46 was previously objected to but the Examiner stated that it would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 4-6 remain withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner.

The Applicant notes that although claim 46 is referred to on the continuation sheet of the Advisory Action as "Amended claim 46 would be allowable. However, claim 46 was added and no claims was cancelled," by phone call on May 16, 2007, the Examiner indicated that it was intended that this claim is allowed as amended. Claim 46 was previously added by amendment dated September 11, 2006, and amended to overcome the Examiner's objection in the reply to the final Office Action dated February 5, 2007. The Applicants appreciate the Examiner's willingness to discuss the Applicants' question about amended claim 46 and to convey that amended claim 46 is allowable.

Applicant respectfully requests entry of this amendment under Rule 116 in that this amendment cancels claims and renders all of the remaining claims in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 8-10, 12-38, and 46 at the earliest possible date are respectfully requested.


Appl. No.: 09/828,564
Amdt. dated May 16, 2007
Reply to Advisory Action of May 2, 2007

In the event that the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this response, please contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Yogendra Joshi, et al.
(Applicants)

Date: 16 May 2007



Matthew W. Witsil
Registration No. 47,183
Moore & Van Allen
Attorney for Applicants
430 Davis Drive, Suite 500
P.O. Box 13706
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
(919) 286-8000 (telephone)
(919) 286-8199 (facsimile)